Making Sense of Chile's Declining Party Loyalty (Part II), 1994 - 2024

By Samantha Alvarez Chavarria, Edgar Gaytan, Ericka Huape, Mu Kobashi, Lizbeth Maldonado Lopez, Steven Marquez, Rene Menchaca, Andres Casillas, Matthew Molina, Brithney Morales, Diana Reza, Leticia Rios, Michelle Rosalez, Karina Terriquez, Cristian Toro, Jeante Turner, Briana Verduzco,

David E. Dixon, L.G. Chaffee Endowed Chair of Global and Comparative Politics, Principle Investigator

Abstract

This study is Part 2 of our attempt to synthesize the current studies on the decline of political party loyalty in Chile from 1994-2024. There are a plethora of explanations for declining political loyalty, including: the declining popularity of leftist parties, an increasingly educated population prone to skepticism , economic stasis, technical advances which make advertisement more costly, generation gaps, populist agitation, ennui, neo-conservative bias, socioeconomic status, government overspending in wealthier neighborhoods, and party ideological parity, to name a few. Our preliminary analysis in 2024 presented our preliminary findings after 450 data runs (the first of its kind to analyze Centro de Estudios Publicos public opinion data from 1994 to 2024). This more exhaustive paper shares the results of 80,000 more data runs from the same three decade period.

The Literature on Declining Political Party Loyalty

A more thorough review appears in our initial paper. From 1990 to the present, party loyalty has flagged in Chile. Alan Angel (2003, 88) observes the trend from 1997 and 2002, estimating the decline from 88 percent to 66 percent. Patricio Silva (2004, 63) estimates a decline from 84.3 percent between 1990 and 2004. Carlos Melendez et al. (2019, 520) document a rise from no party preference from 38 percent in 1996 to 60 percent in 2015. Scott Mainwaring et al. (2000, 795) documents increasing anti party rhetoric from candidates Errazuriz and Piňera.

Margot Olavarria (2003, 10) notes that 3.7 million voters cast blank ballots in the 1997 parliamentary elections. Ryan Carlin (2006, 362) notes the increase of blank-ballot voting from 1990 to 2006. Paul Lewis (2004, 719) observes that men vote less than women and cast blank ballots more often. Burgsted and Maldonado (2018, 29) analyze Centro de Estudios Publicos surveys from 1994 to 2014 and observe the same phenomenon. Nicolas De La Cerda (2018, 3) estimates using Centro De Estudios Publico a 69 percent drop in party identification from 1990-2016. David Dixon (2000, 77) documents using anecdotal evidence and data from Centro de Estudios Publicos, FLACSO, and CERC from 1920-1991 to observe increasing levels of political disengagement that cut across religious loyalties. FLACSO's Governability Program (2007, 8) notes increased "no ideology" responses in Centro De Estudios Politicos public opinion surveys from 15 percent (1990) to 40 percent (2000).

Why the Decline in Party Loyalty?

A more thorough literature review appears in our 2024 study. Alan Angel (2003, 95), citing Sanchez (2003, 230-232) (1) the decreasing appeal of the Left following the implosion of the USSR; (2) an educated electorate; and, (3) the increased costs of advertising via social media. Patricio Silva (2004, 66) focuses on age cohort variation between leaders and masses. Carlos Melendez et al. (2019,20) note the worldwide rise in populism. Margot Olavarria (2003, 14) argues that municipal spending favors wealthier communities. Juan Pablo Luna and David Altman (2018, 1) argue that elites have distanced themselves from the rank and file. Ryan Carlin (2006, 632), notes (1) a lack of constituent support; (2) depoliticization; (3) and generational shifts. Juan Castillo (2015, 490) focuses on unjust distributions of wealth. Matias Burgsted and Luis Maldonado (2018, 29) identified a generational shift of people born post-1950s.   Moreover,

party leaders do not consult the rank and file when framing policy. Xabier Gainza (2021) argues that municipal investment is corrupt. Nicolas De La Cerda (2022, 3) focuses on non-programmatic convergence of the right. Leonardo Castillo C. (2009, 5) argues that the duopolist coalitions leave voters with no meaningful expression.

<u>Variables</u>

Wellbeing. This variable asks the respondent in general considering all aspects of your life how satisfied are you currently. Possible responses are 1= very dissatisfied, 2= somewhat dissatisfied, 3= somewhat satisfied and 4= very satisfied.

Trust. This variable asks how much trust do you have in the following people (political leaders). 1= much trust 2=some trust and 3=little to no trust.

Constitution. This question asks do you approve or reject a new constitution? 1=I approve 2=I reject.

Democracy. This question asks considering all the good and bad surrounding the project of labor reform currently address in congress what effect do you think this problem will have on employment and economic growth? 1= it favors employment and economic growth 2= it won't affect employment and economic growth 3= it will reduce employment and economic growth.

Dictatorship. This Question asks with respect to the arrest of Augusto Pianochet various institutions and groups in this country have different opinions. From your point of view on a scale from one to seven are you in favor of the arrest (1) or not in favor (2).

Age. This variable ranges from 18 to 99.

Student Movement. This variable asks from your point of view from a range from 1 to 7 where 1 is the odt negative and 7 most positive how do you rate the student movement.

Electoral Participation. This question asks, how likely are you to vote in the next elections? 1=Very likely, 2=Somewhat likely, 3=Neither likely or unlikely, 4=Somewhat unlikely and 5=Very unlikely.

Education. How much school have you attended? 1 = some elementary schools 2= some middle schools. 3= some high school 4= completed high school. 5= some college. 6= completed college. 7= graduate school.

Regional Identity. This question asks do you live closer to a city or the countryside.

Political Identity. This question asks do you identify most closely with the ideological left, center or right. 1 =left , 2 =center, and 3= right.

Taxes. This question asks do you approve or disapprove of taxes. 1= approve and 2 = disapprove.

Home Census. This question asks how many people live in your home.

Political Interest. This question asks how interested are you in politics. 1= not interested at all 2= not very interested 3= somewhat interested 4= very interested.

Indigenous. This question asks how much indigenous blood do you have? 1= none whatsoever 2= a little indigenous 3= mostly indigenous 4= completely indigenous.

Coalition . This question asks do you support the Concentration coalition or the opposition? 1= support for the Concertation, 2= support for the opposition.

Region. This question asks in which region do you live? 13= Santiago, all other values= outside Santiago.

Religion. This question asks respondents to identify their religions. 1= Catholic 2= Non-Catholic.

Health.   This question asks how satisfied are you with your current health care? 1=extremely satisfied 2=somewhat satisfied 3=somewhat dissatisfied 4=extremely dissatisfied.

Gender. This question asks respondents to identify their gender. 1 = male, 2 = female.

Demographic zone. This question asks respondents to identify whether they lived closer to a rural or urban area. 1= urban 2= rural.

Weight (Ponderador). This variable has a range between 0 and 2, where values over 1 indicate more influence in the analysis than observations below 1 values. The code book has no reference to this variable, and search engines indicated that most social scientists do not use this variable in regression analysis with the notable exception of biostatisticians. Our tables were ready before we came across this information, so we included the statistically significant data runs in the tables, but offer no analysis.

Analysis of the Full CEP Consolidated Data set

In part one of this project (which we presented at the WPSA in 2024, we analyzed the one hundred eighty one most densely recorded variables from the CEP consolidated data set In order to develop our instincts about the data. We now turn to the task of analyzing all 4,300+ variables of the data set.

Analysis of Electoral Participation Variables

We turn to a discussion of tables 1 through 45, which focus on the electoral participation. The first thing to note is the volatility of respondents. Regarding Age as a predictor of electoral participation, ten tables (5, 7, 14, 19, 26, 28, 29, 30, 33, 35, 38, 41, 42) show age as varying positively with electoral participation. Twenty other tables (1, 6, 9, 11, 12, 15, 16, 17, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 267, 32, 34, 36, 38, 41, 42) show age varying inversely with electoral participation. Fourteen other tables show no relationship between age and electoral participation. Regarding Socioeconomic Status as a predictor of electoral participation, six tables show positive variation (1, 2, 5, 32, 44, 45), 11 show inverse variation (8, 14, 17, 20, 22, 24, 31, 33, 37, 41, 42) amd 29 show no statistical relationship (3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 20, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 34, 35, 36, 38, 39, 40, 43). Regarding Educational Attainment as a predictor of Electoral Participation 26 tables indicate a directly proportional relationship (2, 3, 4, 9, 15, 16, 17, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 29, 32, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 33, 40, 42, 43, 44, 45), 3 tables indicate an inversely proportional relationship (7, 19, 30) and sixteen tables indicate no statistical relationship. A brief note before discussing Gender. This is a binary variable, so the regression coefficients are a little off, as a sigmoid strategy (Logit or Probit, e.g.) is the most accurate approach. We will redo these runs soon.   One table (8) indicated a directly proportional relationship between Gender and Electoral Participation, eight tables (6, 10, 13, 18, 22, 24, 29, 39) indicated an inversely proportional relationship and 36 tables (1, 2, 3 4, 5, 7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 21, 23, 25, 26, 27, 28, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45) indicated no statistical relationship.

Table 1: Multivariate Linear Regression Along Electoral Participation 1

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.159	<.001	-.103	.000	<.001
SES	.001	.047	.002	.001	.047
POND	.092	<.001	.539	.022	<.001

As we can see above in Table 15 age, socio-economic status and pond have statistically significant relationships with electoral participation. In the case of socio-economic status and pond the relationship is directly proportional while the case of age is inversely proportional.

Table 2: Multi Linear Regression Electoral Participation 10 dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.

Education Level	.011	<.001	.031	.008	<.001

—---

As we can see in table 16 education level is directly proportional with electoral participation 10.

Table 3 :Multivariate Linear Regression Along Electoral Participation 11 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.011	<.001	.031	.008	<.001

—---

Table 4: Multivariate Linear Regression Along Electoral Participation 13 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.003	.015	.007	.003	.015
Weight	.053	<.001	.537	.058	<.001

_____

Table 5: Multivariate Linear Regression Along Electoral Participation 16 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.023	.025	.023	.009	.008
Socioeconomic Staus	.023	.025	.028	.016	.078

_____

Table 6: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 17 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.011	<.001	-.008	.002	<.001
Weight	.019	<.001	.775	.144	<.001
Gender	.002	.037	-.147	.070	.037

_____

Table 7: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 18 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.01	<.001	.013	.001	<.001
Education	.000	.026	-/008	.004	-.026
Weight	.005	<.001	-.587	.070	<.001

Table 8: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 19 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Socioeconomic Status	.0456	.034	-.234	.109	.034
Gender	.025	.088	2.7788	1.609	.088

Table 9: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 20 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.02	.013	-.050	.02	.013
Education	.025	.004	.180	.062	.004
Weight	.010	.045	1.703	.848	.045

Table 10: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 21 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Weight	.010	.045	.418	.706	.045
Gender	.010	.047	.-/770	.385	.047

Table 11: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 22 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.003	.026	-.004	.002	.026

Table 12: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 23 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.006	.002	-.008	.002	.002
Weight	.003	.019	.352	.151	.019

Table 13: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 24 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Gender	.004	.034	-.488	.230	.034

Table 14: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 25 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.016	.018	.035	.015	.018
Socioeconomic Status	.036	<.001	-.073	.021	<.001
Weight	.012	.033	-1.350	.629	.033

Table 15: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 26 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.010	<.001	-.010	.002	<.001
Education	.008	<.001	.032	.009	<.001
Weight	.004	.011	.437	.170	.011

Table 16: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 27 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.020	<.001	-.014	.002	<.001
Education	.009	<.001	.034	.058	<.001
Weight	.006	.001	.557	.174	.001

Table 17: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 28 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.047	<.001	-.046	.005	<.001
Education	.028	<.001	.131	.120	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.003	.024	-.028	.012	.024
Weight	.013	<.001	1.726	.379	<.001

Table 18: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 30 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Gender	.007	.077	-.740	.417	.077

Table 19: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 31 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.166	<.001	.095	.408	<.001
Education	.004	.007	-.057	.021	.007
Weight	.090	<.001	-5.107	.417	<.001

Table 20: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 32 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Socioeconomic Status	.003	.004	-.006	.002	.004

Table 21: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 34 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.001	.029	-.003	.001	.029
Weight	.001	.085	.138	.008	.085

Table 22: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 37 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.011	<.001	-.009	.000	<.001
Education	.005	<.001	.021	.002	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.001	<.001	-.006	.001	<.001
Weight	.001	<.001	.063	.009	<.001
Gender	.000	.010	-.047	.018	.010

Table 23: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 38 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.006	.002	-.011	.004	.002
Education	.004	.008	.036	.014	.008

Table 24: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 39 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.006	<.001	-.009	.001	<.001
Education	.001	.001	.014	.004	.001
Socioeconomic Status	.001	<.001	-.009	.003	<.001
Weight	.022	<.001	.103	.022	<.001
Gender	.000	.023	-.093	.041	.023

Table 25: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 4 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.045	<.001	-.011	.000	<.001
Education	.004	<.001	.014	.001	<.001
Weight	.007	<.001	.119	.007	<.001

Table 26: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 40 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.103	<.001	.010	.001	<.001
Education	.001	<.001	.012	.003	<.001
Weight	.002	<.001	-.078	.018	<.001

Table 27: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 42 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.003	<.001	-.007	.002	<.001
Education	.003	<.001	.030	.007	<.001

Weight	.001	.019	.068	.029	.019

Table 28: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 43 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.027	<.001	.020	.001	<.001
Weight	.002	<.001	-.098	.028	<.001

Table 29: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 44 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.003	.023	.005	.002	.023
Education	.002	.033	.018	.008	.033
Gender	.002	.052	-.149	.077	.052

Table 30: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 45 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.005	.005	.005	.002	.005
Education	.004	.011	-.019	.008	.011
Weight	.004	.013	-.190	.076	.013

Table 31: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 46b Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Socioeconomic Status	.011	.006	-.055	.020	.006
Weight	.008	.018	-.605	.254	.018

Table 32: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 47 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.006	<.001	-.007	.002	<.001
Education	.014	<.001	.039	.006	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.003	.002	.012	.004	.002

Table 33: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 48 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.015	<.001	.014	.002	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.001	.078	-.009	.005	.078
Weight	.001	.036	-.139	.066	.036

Table 34: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 49 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.013	<.001	-.008	.001	<.001
Education	.003	<.001	.016	.003	<.001
Weight	.003	<.001	.109	.027	<.001

Table 35: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 50 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.019	<.001	.016	.039	<.001
Education	.009	<.001	.041	.098	<.001

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Weight	.003	.027	-.24	.097	.027

Table 36: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 51 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.005	.005	-.008	.003	.005
Education	.037	<.001	.078	.010	<.001

Table 37: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 52 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.026	<.001	.068	.011	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.004	.013	-.019	.008	.013

Table 38: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 53 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.006	<.001	-.012	.003	<.001
Education	.018	<.001	.075	.010	<.001

Table 39: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 54 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.013	<.001	.074	.017	<.001
Weight	.002	.042	-.298	.146	.042

Table 40: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 55 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.020	<.001	.034	.004	<.001
Education	.009	<.001	.087	.017	<.001
Weight	.004	<.001	-.427	.122	<.001
Gender	.002	.013	-.396	.159	.013

Table 41: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 56 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.007	<.001	-.008	.002	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.002	.016	-.166	.069	.016

Table 42: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 6 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.009	<.001	-.009	.002	<.001
Education	.003	.017	.017	.007	.017
Socioeconomic Status	.002	.029	-.011	.005	.029

Table 43: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 7 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.060	<.001	.137	.014	<.001
Weight	.019	<.001	1.245	.228	<.001

Table 44: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 8 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.

Education	.022	<.001	.046	.008	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.003	.016	.013	.005	.016
Weight	.008	<.001	.450	.127	<.001

Table 45: Multivariate Regression Along Electoral Participation 9 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.020	<.001	.018	.003	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.002	.047	.004	.002	.047
Weight	.046	<.001	.428	.050	<.001

Analysis of Tables 46 to 159

Education varies in direct proportion with Political Interest in 50 tables (46, 48, 50, 52, 53, 54, 55, 57, 58, 68, 69, 72, 73, 88, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 10, 101, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 127, 128, 130, 131, 142, 143, 147, 1500, inversely proportional in 39 tables (49, 51, 59, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 74, 75, 77, 79, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 89, , 91, 92, 102, 103, 133, 134, 136, 137, 138, 139, 148, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157), and shows no statistical relationship in 22 tables (47, 56, 60, 61, 70, 71, 76, 78, 80, 90, 124, 125, 126, 129, 140, 141, 144, 145, 146, 149, 158, 159).   Gender (a binary variable, so please disregard the coefficients) varies in direct proportion with Political Interest in 26 tables (46,52, 63, 67, 71, 73, 74, 76, 78, 80, 83, 84, 90, 91, 102, 103, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 148, 154), varies inversely in 44 tables (48, 50, 54, 57, 61, 62, 64, 68, 69, 72, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 118, 119, 121, 122, 141, 142, 145, 146, 149, 154) and shows no statistical relationship in 43 tables (47, 49, 51, 53, 55, 56, 58, 59, 60, 65, 66, 70, 75, 77, 79, 81, 82, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 92, 117, 120, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 147, 151, 152, 153, 155, 156, 157, 158). Socioeconomic Status varies in direct proportion to Political Interest in 143 tables (61, 68, 109, 110, 125, 1ew, 141, 142, 143, 145, 147, 148, 149, 150), varies inversely in 31 tables (47, 49, 50, 53, 56, 65, 70, 71, 93, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 105, 106, 113, 114, 123, 133, 134, 135, 136,, 137, 138, 139, 156, 157) and shows no statistical relationship in 66 tables (46, 48, 51, 52, 54, 55, 57, 58, 59, 60, 62, 63, 64, 66, 67, 69, 72, 73, 74, 75, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 94, 95, 107, 108, 111, 112, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 124, 126, 127, 129, 130, 131, 140, 144, 146, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 158, 159. Age varies in direct proportion to Political Interest in 27 tables (49, 51, 53, 63, 64, 65, 74, 75, 83, 103, 124, 126, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, , 141, 143, 144, 145, 146, 148, 153, 154, 156, 157), inversely in 30 tables (50, 52, 60, 70, 71, 72, 76, 80, 88, 93, 94, 104, 106, 108, 110, 114, 116, 119,

120, 123, 127, 128, 130, 131, 132, 133, 139, 147, 149, 158) and shows no statistical relationship in 55 tables (46, 47, 48, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 61, 62, 66, 67, 68, 69, 77, 79, 81, 82, 84, 85, 86, 87, 89, 90, 91, 92, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 105, 107, 109, 111, 112, 113, 115, 117, 118, 121, 122, 125, 129, 140, 142, 150, 151, 152, 155, 159).

Table 46: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 10 B Dependent

	R^2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.015	<.001	.027	.006	<.001
Weight	.008	<.001	-.330	.092	<.001
Gender	.004	.006	.137	.050	.006

Table 47: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 10 C Dependent

	R^2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Socioeconomic Status	.002	.063	-.006	.003	.063
Weight	.003	.026	-.187	.084	.026

Table 48: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 10 D Dependent

	R^2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.006	.002	.012	.004	.002
Gemder	.003	.027	-.079	.036	.027

Table 49: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 11 A Dependent

	R^2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.017	<.001	.007	.001	<.001
Education	.011	<.001	-.012	.005	<.001

Socioeconomic

Status	.006	.002	-.010	.003	.002
Weight	.007	<.001	-.293	.089	<.001

Table 50: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 11 B Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.013	<.001	-,007	.001	<.001
Education	.007	<.001	.018	.005	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.002	.032	-.007	.003	.032
Weight	.037	<.001	.690	.193	<.001
Gender	.034	<.001	-.363	.049	<.001

Table 51: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 11 C Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.003	.025	.003	.002	.025
Education	.002	.039	-.012	.006	.039
Weight	.002	.052	-.187	.096	.052

Table 52: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 11 D Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.004	.006	-.005	.002	.006
Education	.003	.021	.014	.006	.021
Weight	.006	.002	-.323	.105	.002
Gender	.018	<.001	.300	.057	<.001

Table 53: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 11 E Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.002	.054	.002	.001	.054
Education	.026	<.001	.029	.004	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.002	.054	-.005	.003	.054

Table 54: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 11 F Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.012	<.001	.019	.004	<.001
Weight	.002	.058	.145	.076	.058
Gender	.003	.021	-.096	.042	.021

Table 55: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 11 G Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.003	.015	.013	.005	.015

Table 56: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 11 H Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Socioeconomic Status	.001	.096	-.005	.003	.096

Table 57: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 11 I Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.011	<.001	.016	.004	<.001

Weight	.002	.043	.066	.052	.043
Gender	.004	.004	-.098	.036	.004

Table 58: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 11 J Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.003	.017	.009	.004	.017

Table 59: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 13 A Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.029	<.001	-.025	.004	<.001

Table 60: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 13 B Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.003	.027	-.002	.001	.027
Weight	.003	.019	.174	.075	.019

Table 61: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 13 C Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Socioeconomic Status	.001	.079	.004	.002	.079
Weight	.006	.001	.242	.075	.001
Gender	.009	<.001	-.142	.037	<.001

Table 62: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 13 D Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.001	.074	-.009	.005	.074
Gender	.001	.077	-.082	.046	.077

Table 63: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 13 E Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.017	.001	.006	.001	.001
Education	.003	.027	-.009	.004	.027
Weight	.049	<.001	-.649	.073	<.001
Gender	.041	<.001	.293	.036	<.001

Table 64: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 13 H Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.005	.005	.003	.001	.005
Education	.021	<.001	-.024	.004	<.001
Weight	.019	<.001	.434	.079	<.001
Gender	.023	<.001	-.234	.039	<.001

Table 65: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 13 I Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.006	.001	.003	.001	.005
Education	.004	.008	-.008	.003	.008
Socioeconomic Status	.001	.072	-.003	.002	.072
Weight	.005	.005	-.157	.056	.005

Table 66: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 13 J Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.011	<.001	-.013	.003	<.001

Table 67: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 13 K Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.006	.002	-.014	.005	.002
Weight	.003	.017	-.210	.087	.017
Gender	.003	.016	.103	.043	.016

Table 68: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 14 A Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.043	<.001	.133	.016	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.002	.049	.020	.010	.049
Gender	.005	.004	-.455	.156	.004

Table 69: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 14 B Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.091	<.001	.169	.014	<.001
Weight	.004	.011	.661	.066	.011
Gender	.023	<.001	-.808	.135	<.001

Table 70: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 15 A Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.002	.013	-.005	.002	.013
Socioeconomic Status	.003	.001	-.016	.005	.001

Table 71: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 15 B Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.001	.043	-.004	.002	.043
Socioeconomic Status	.002	.008	-.012	.005	.008
Gender	.003	.002	.212	.069	.002

Table 72: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 15 C Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.016	<.001	-.013	.002	<.001
Education	.009	<.001	.036	.007	<.001
Weight	.004	<.001	.178	.050	<.001
Gender	.005	<.001	-.271	.070	<.001

Table 73: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 15 D Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.001	.065	.012	.007	.065
Weight	.002	.028	-.106	.049	.028
Gender	.012	<.001	.410	.068	<.001

Table 74: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 16 A Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.043	<.001	.017	.002	<.001
Education	.014	<.001	-.036	.008	<.001
Weight	.100	<.001	-1.505	.116	<.001
Gender	.054	<.001	.691	.0784	<.001

Table 75: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 16 B Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.003	.013	.004	.002	.013
Education	.025	<.001	-.040	.006	<.001
Weight	.012	<.001	-.438	.101	<.001

Table 76: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 16 C Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.007	<.001	-.008	.002	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.004	.007	.006	.069	.007
Weight	.006	.001	.460	.143	.001
Gender	.007	<.001	.305	.089	<.001

Table 77: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 16 D Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.002	.046	-.016	.008	.046

Socioeconomic

Status	.003	.024	.011	.005	.024

___

Table 78: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 16 E Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Weight	.005	.005	-.194	.069	.005
Gender	.002	.037	.090	.043	.037

___

Table 79: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 17 A Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.004	<.001	-.014	.004	<.001

___

Table 80: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 17 B Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.009	<.001	-.017	.003	<.001
Gender	.001	.021	.071	.031	.021

___

Table 81: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 17 C Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.007	<.001	-.018	.004	<.001

___

Table 82: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 17 D Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.002	.045	-.007	.004	.045

___

Table 83: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 17 E Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.001	.039	.002	.001	.039
Education	.003	.001	-.010	.003	.001
Gender	.001	.070	.054	.030	.070

Table 84: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 17 F Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.004	.004	-.010	.004	.004
Gender	.002	.031	.073	.034	.031

Table 85: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 17 G Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.002	.012	-.008	.003	.012

Table 86: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 17 H Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.002	.004	-.010	.004	.004

Table 87: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 18 C Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.001	.098	-.008	.005	.098

Table 88: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 18 E Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.004	.007	-.003	.001	.007
Education	.002	.042	.009	.005	.042
Weight	.005	.005	.203	.073	.005

Table 89: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 18 F Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.001	.087	-.007	.004	.087

Table 90: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 18 G Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Weight	.003	.027	-,161	.073	.027
Gender	.011	<.001	.185	.044	<.001

Table 91: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 18 H Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.003	.023	-.010	.004	.023
Gender	.001	.1	.041	.042	.1

Table 92: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 18 I Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.001	.092	-.009	.005	.092

Table 93: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 19 A Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.001	.095	-.010	.006	.095

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.076	<.001	.257	.023	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.001	.088	-,025	.015	.088
Gender	.019	<.001	-1.203	.221	<.001

Table 94: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 19 B Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.001	.080	-.012	.007	.080
Education	.071	<..001	.265	.025	<.001
Gender	.018	<.001	-1.246	.236	<.001

Table 95: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 19 C Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.079	<.001	.259	.023	<.001
Gender	.014	<.001	-1.-15	.219	<.001

Table 96: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 19 D Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.066	<.001	.259	.025	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.003	.029	-.035	.016	.029
Gender	.018	<.001	-1.272	.239	<.001

Table 97: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 19 E Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.069	<.001	.242	.023	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.004	.006	-.040	.015	.006
Gender	.018	<.001	-1.162	.218	<.001

Table 98: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 19 F Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.072	<.001	.270	.025	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.007	<.001	-.055	.016	<.001
Gender	.021	<.001	-1.356	.238	<.001

Table 99: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 19 G Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.075	<.001	.262	.024	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.001	.084	-.0256	.015	.084
Gender	.015	<.001	-1.090	.227	<.001

Table 100: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 19 H Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.066	<.001	.261	.025	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.013	<.001	-.073	.016	<.001
Gender	.015	<.001	-1.155	.240	<.001

Table 101: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 19_I_Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.04	<.001	.213	.027	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.027	<.001	-.107	.016	<.001
Gender	.007	<.001	-.847	.250	<.001

Table 102: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 1_A_Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.002	<.001	-.016	.004	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.001	.023	-.006	.003	.023
Weight	.001	<.001	-.136	.037	<.001
Gender	.003	<.001	.206	.041	<.001

Table 103: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 1_B_Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.009	<.001	.009	.001	<.001
Education	.030	<.001	-.063	.004	<.001
Socioeonomic Status	.002	<.001	-.009	.002	<.001
Weight	.004	<.001	-.135	.020	<.001
Gender	.006	<.001	.273	.030	<.001

Table 104: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 20 A Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.012	<.001	-.028	.006	<.001
Education	.110	<.001	.325	.024	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.005	.003	.046	.016	.003
Weight	.005	.004	.435	.153	.004
Gender	.008	<.001	-.828	.233	<.001

Table 105: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 20 B Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.027	<.001	.127	.020	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.004	.009	-.032	.012	.009
Gender	.004	.010	-.475	.184	.101

Table 106: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 20 C Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.002	.053	-.017	.009	.053
Education	.098	<.001	.424	.033	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.008	<.001	-.079	.021	<.001
Weight	.004	.006	.582	.212	.006
Gender	.003	.017	-.776	.324	.017

Table 107: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 20 D Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.035	<.001	.154	.021	<.001
Gender	.002	.042	-.395	.194	.042

Table 108: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 20 D 2 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.003	.023	-.017	.008	.023
Education	.064	.001	.281	.027	<.001
Gender	.008	<.001	-.966	.269	<.001

Table 109: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 20 E Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.024	<.001	.132	.022	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.004	.006	.037	.072	.006
Gender	.004	.008	-.537	.202	.008

Table 110: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 20 E 2 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.001	.077	-.011	.006	.077
Education	.036	<.001	.175	.023	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.002	.067	.027	.015	.067
Weight	.001	.094	.139	.083	.094

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Gender	.008	<.001	-.804	.224	<.001

Table 111: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 20 F Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.043	<.001	.180	.022	<.001
Gender	.011	<.001	-.858	/2-5	<.001

Table 112: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 20 F 2 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.043	<.001	.190	.022	<.001
Gender	.009	<.001	-.844	.221	<.001

Table 113: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 20 G Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.075	<.001	.296	.027	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.004	.012	-.043	.017	.012
Gender	.006	.002	-.811	.258	.002

Table 114: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 20 G 2 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.005	.003	-.023	.008	.003
Education	.094	<.001	.339	.027	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.003	.015	-.043	.018	.015

Gender	.006	.001	-.862	.269	.001

Table 115: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 20 H Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.074	<.001	.263	.024	<.001
Weight	.003	.109	.355	.151	.019
Gender	.005	.003	-.684	.230	.003

Table 116: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 20 H 2 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.004	.009	-.021	.008	.009
Education	.074	<.001	.317	.028	<.001
Gender	.007	<.001	-.955	.284	<.001

Table 117: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 20 I Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.024	<.001	.110	.018	<.001

Table 118: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest I 2 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.022	<.001	.132	.022	<.001
Gender	.006	<.001	-.705	.213	<.001

Table 119: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 20 J 2 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.002	.047	-.015	.007	.047
Education	.066	<.001	.277	.026	<.001
Gender	.008	<.001	-.939	.259	<.001

Table 120: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 20 K 2 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.016	<.001	-.045	.009	<.001
Education	.113	<.001	.431	.031	<.001

Table 121: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 20 L 2 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.072	<.001	.293	.027	<.001
Gender	.015	<.001	-1.328	.263	<.001

Table 122: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest M 2 Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.040	<.001	.176	.022	<.001
Gender	.017	<.001	-1.115	.212	<.001

Table 123: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 20 N 2   Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.005	.002	-.023	.007	.002
Education	.045	<.001	.224	.026	<.001

Socioeconomic					
Status	.004	.006	=.047	.017	.006

Table 124: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 20 1 A   Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.002	.052	.007	.004	.052

Table 125: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 21 3   Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Socioeconomic					
Status	.001	.093	.014	/008	.093

Table 126: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 21 E   Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.005	.003	.010	.003	.003
Weight	.002	.043	-.208	.103	.043

Table 127: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 22 A   Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.015	<.001	-.025	.005	<.001
Education	.053	<.001	.165	.018	<.001
Weight	.006	.002	.521	.165	.002

Table 128: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 22 B   Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.019	<.001	-.026	.005	<.001

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.058	<.001	.156	.016	<.001

Table 129: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 22 C   Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Weight	.003	.025	.335	.150	.025

Table 130: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 22 D   Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.015	<.001	-.025	.005	<.001
Education	.074	<.001	.196	.018	<.001
Weight	.009	<.001	.619	.166	<.001

Table 130: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 22 E   Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.018	<.001	-.026	.005	<.001
Education	.067	<.001	.173	.017	<.001
Weight	.004	.009	.408	.155	.009

Table 131: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 23   Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.008	<.001	-.016	.003	<.001
Education	.067	<.001	.177	.017	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.001	.066	.015	.008	.066

Weight	.003	.002	.243	.078	.002

Table 132: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 2 A Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.000	.004	-.001	.000	.004
Education	.014	<.001	-.027	.001	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.000	<.001	-.002	.001	<.001
Weight	.001	<.001	-.060	.007	<.001
Gender	.005	<.001	.149	.008	<.001

Table 133: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 2 B   Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.001	<.001	.001	.000	<.001
Education	.030	<.001	-.042	.001	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.001	<.001	-.006	.001	<.001
Weight	.002	<.001	-.089	.008	<.001
Gender	.005	<.001	.162	.009	<.001

Table 1134: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 2 C   Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.000	.016	.001	.001	.016
Education	.001	<.001	.011	.002	<.001
Socioeconomic					

Status	.000	<.001	-.004	.001	<.001
Weight	.001	<.001	-.058	.011	<.001
Gender	.001	<.001	.103	.019	<.001

Table 135: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 2 D   Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.001	<.001	.002	.000	<.001
Education	.024	<.001	-.036	.001	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.001	<.001	-.004	.001	<.001
Weight	.001	<.001	-.067	.008	<.001
Gender	.000	.010	.023	.009	.010

Table 136: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 2 E   Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.002	<.001	.003	.000	<.001
Education	.024	<.001	-.037	.001	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.001	<.001	-.004	.001	<.001
Weight	.003	<.001	-.106	.008	<.001
Gender	.005	<.001	.165	.009	<.001

Table 137: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 2 F   Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.000	.046	.001	.000	.046

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.001	<.001	-.006	.001	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.000	.005	-.002	.001	.005
Weight	.001	<.001	-.047	.008	<.001
Gender	.000	<.001	.042	.009	<.001

Table 139: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 2 G   Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.000	<.001	-.001	.000	<.001
Education	.000	.003	-.003	.001	.003
Socioeconomic Status	.000	.068	-.001	.001	.068
Weight	.000	.075	-.015	.008	.075
Gender	.000	.021	.021	.009	.021

Table 140: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 2 H   Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Weight	.001	.076	-.051	.029	.076
Gender	.003	.001	.168	/-51	.001

Table 141: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 6 A Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.005	.003	.016	.005	.003
Socioeconomic Status	.008	<.001	.042	.012	<.001

Gender	.027	<.001	-1.140	.174	<.001

Table 142: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 6 B Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.146	<.001	.374	.023	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.006	.001	.052	.016	.001
Gender	.051	<.001	-2.072	.299	<.001

Table 143: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 6 C Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.005	.004	.015	.005	.004
Education	.076	<.001	.200	.018	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.006	.001	.038	.012	.001
Gender	.049	<.001	-1.498	.170	<.001

Table 144: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 7 A Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.005	.003	.020	.007	.003
Weight	.004	.008	-1.154	.433	.008
Gender	.044	<.001	-1.752	.209	<.001

Table 145: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 7 B Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.

Age	.003	.013	.018	.007	.013
Socioeconomic Status	.003	.026	.035	.016	.026
Weight	.004	.011	-1.220	.478	.011
Gender	.039	<.001	-1.810	.231	<.001

Table 146: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 7 C   Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.012	<.001	.028	.006	<.001
Weight	.008	<.001	-1.501	.421	<.001
Gender	.003	<.001	-1.485	.205	<.001

Table 147: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 8 A   Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.006	.002	-.004	.001	.002
Education	.007	<.001	.014	.004	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.001	.085	.005	.003	.085
Weight	.009	<.001	-.277	.071	<.001

Table 148: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 8 B   Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.015	<.001	.006	.001	<.001
Education	.002	.031	-.008	.004	.031

Socioeconomic Status	.002	.027	.005	.002	.037
Gender	.047	<.001	.311	.036	<.001

Table 149: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 8 C   Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.003	.027	-.003	.001	.027
Socioeconomic Status	.005	.003	.009	.003	.003
Weight	.006	.002	.241	.078	.002
Gender	.007	<.001	-.153	.045	<.001

Table 150: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 8 D   Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.003	.017	.021	.009	.017
Socioeconomic Status	.006	.001	.019	.006	.001
Gender	.007	<.001	.298	.089	<.001

Table 151: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 8 E Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.001	.087	-.007	.004	.087
Weight	.003	.019	.168	.071	.019

Table 152: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 8 F   Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.003	.013	-.010	.004	.013

Table 153: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 8 G   Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.003	.020	.003	.001	.020
Education	.010	<.001	-.013	.003	<.001

Table 154: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 8 H   Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.004	.013	.004	.002	.013
Education	.0121	<.001	-.020	.005	<.001
Weight	.013	<.001	.372	.182	<.001
Gender	.007	<.001	-.167	.048	<.001

Table 155: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 8 I Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Education	.022	<.001	-.029	.005	<.001
Weight	.002	.048	.171	.087	.048

Table 156: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 8 J   Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.02	.070	.002	.001	.070
Education	.015	<.001	-.021	.004	<.001

Table 157: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 8 K   Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.005	.005	.003	.001	.005
Education	.009	<.001	-.012	.003	<.001
Socioeconomic Status	.003	.023	-.005	.002	.023

Table 157: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 9 A   Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Age	.003	.096	-.006	.004	.096

Table 158: Multivariate Regression along Political Interest 9B   Dependent

	R2	Sig.	B	SE	Sig.
Gender	.014	<.001	.948	.283	<.001

Bibliography

Aleman, Eduardo et al., "Legalized Preferences, Party Politics and Coalition Unity in Chile," *Comparative Politics* Vol. 39, Issue 3 (2007) pp.253-272

Angel, Allan, "Party Change in Chile in Comparative Perspective," *Revista de Ciencia Politica* Vol. 23, No. 2 (2003), pp. 88-108.

Burgstee, Matias and Maldonado, Luis, "Party Identification in an Encapsulated Party System: Post Authoritarian Chile," *Journal of Politics in Latin America* Vol. 10, Issue 1, (2018) pp.29-68.

Boas, Taylor, "Voting for Democracy: Campaign Effects in Chile's Democratic transition," ………………

Carlin, Ryan, "The Decline of Citizen Participation in Electoral Politics in Post Authoritarian Chile," *Democratization* Vol. 13 Issue 4 (2006) pp.632-631.

Castillo, Juan, "Inequality, Distributive Justice and Political Participation: An Analysis of case of Chile," *Bulletin of Latin American Research* Vol. 34, No. 4 (2015) pp.486-502.

Castillo C., Leonardo, "Democracia en Chile: Nuevos Desafios Para Antiguos Problemas (Parte 2)," Santiago: FLACSO (2009).

De La Cerda, Nicolas, "Unstable Identities: The Decline of Partisanship in Contemporary Chile," *Journal of Politics in Latin America* Vol. 14 Issue 1, (2022) pp. 3-30.

Dixon, David, "Research Note; Religion and Political Loyalties in Democratic Chile," *Canadian Journal of Latin American and Caribbean Studies* Vol. 25, No. 49 (2000) pp. 77-95).

Drake, Paul and Jaksic, Ivan, "The struggle for Democracy in Chile," (Lincoln:University of Nebraska Press, 1995).

Espinoza, Miguel y Paulina Saavedra, "Partidos Politicos y Volatilidad en Chile, " Tesis, 2012, Biblioteca FLACSO, Santiago.

Gainza, Xabier et al., "Electoral Incentives and Distributive Politics In Young Democracies: Evidence from Chile," *Electoral Studies* Vol. 73 (2021).

Hite, Katharine, "When the Romance Ended: Leading of the Chilean Left, 1968-1998," *Journal of Latin American Studies* Vol. 43, Issue 3, (2011).

Olavarria, Margot, "Protected Neoliberalism: Perverse Institutionalism and The Crisis of Representation in Post Dictatorship Chile," *Latin American Perspectives* Vol.30 Issue 6 (2003) pp.10-38.

Lewis, Paul, "The Gender Gap in Chile," *Journal of Latin American Studies* Vol. 36, issue 4 (2004) pp.719-742.

Luna, Juan Pablo and Altman, David, "[placeholder title]" *Latin American Politics and Society* Vol. 53, Issue 2, pp. 1-28 (2018).

Mainwaring, Scott et al., "Rethinking the Chilean Party Systems," *Journal of Latin American Studies* Vol. 32, Issue 3 (2000), pp.795-824.

Melendez, Carlos et al., "Political Identities: The Missing Link in the Study of Populism," *Party Politics* Vol. 25, Issue 4 (2019), pp. 520-533.

Mimica, Nicolas, "Party Affiliation, District Level, Incentive and the Use of Parliamentary Questions in Chile's Presidential Democracy," *Government and Opposition* (2023) pp. 1-17.

Nieman Peralta, P.V., "A Comprehensive Approach to the Study of Electoral Reform: An Analysis of Chile's Road to Electoral Reform (1989-2015.) University of Leiden 2021.

Programa de Gobernabilidad (FLACSO), "Funcionamiento interno de los Partidos Poltiicos en Chile: un Diagnostico," Santiago (2007).

Reuss, Alejandro, "Voting for Nobody in Chile's New Democracy," *NACLA Report on the Americas,* Vol. 32 Issue 6 (1999).

Silva, Eduardo, "Capitalist Regime Loyalties and Re-democratization in Chile," *Journal of Interamerican Studies and World Affairs* Vol. 34 issue 4, pp. 77-118.

Silva, Patricio, "Doing Politics in a Depoliticized Society: Social Change and Political Deactivation in Chile," *Bulletin of Latin American Research* Vol. 23, issue 1 (2004), pp. 63-78.

Valenzuela, J. Samuel, "The Origin and Transformation of the Chilean Party System," *Kellogg Institute Working paper No. 215* (Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame, 1995).